Case 3:08-cr-01003-WQH Document 10 Filed 04/07/2008

Page 1 of 4

COMES NOW the plaintiff, the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through its counsel, KAREN P. HEWITT, United States Attorney, and Christopher M. Alexander, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby files its Motions for Reciprocal Discovery and Fingerprint Exemplars. These Motions are based upon the files and records of the case together with the attached memorandum of points and authorities.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I

On April 2, 2008, a Indictment was returned in the Southern District of California charging Defendant Luis Manuel Gomez-Dominguez ("Defendant") with being a deported alien attempting to reenter the United States in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. On April 3, 2008, the Court arraigned Defendant on the Indictment and entered a not guilty plea. The Court scheduled a motion hearing date for May 12, 2008.

II

## THE UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVERY SHOULD BE GRANTED

On March 18, 2008, the United States has provided 59 pages and one DVD as discovery. As of this date, Defendant has produced no reciprocal discovery. The United States requests that Defendant comply with Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, as well as Rule 26.2 which requires the production of prior statements of <u>all</u> witnesses, except for those of Defendant. Defendant has not provided the United States with any documents or statements. Accordingly, the United States will object at trial and ask this Court to suppress any evidence at trial which has not been provided to the United States.

## THE UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR FINGERPRINT EXEMPLARS SHOULD BE GRANTED

Ш

Part of the United States' burden of proof in this case is to satisfy the jury that Defendant was an alien, previously deported, and without permission to reenter the United States. To make that showing, the United States may call an expert in fingerprint identification to match Defendant's

08CR1003-WQH

	Case 3:08-cr-01003-WQH Document 10	Filed 04/07/2008 Page 3 of 4
1 2 3	information is to permit the expert witness himse	_
4	A defendant's fingerprints are not testimonial	l evidence. <u>See Schmerber v. California</u> , 384 U.S.
5	757 (1966). Using identifying physical character	ristics, such as fingerprints, does not violate a
6	defendant's Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination. <u>United States v. DePalma</u> , 414 F.2d 394,	
7	397 (9th Cir. 1969); Woods v. United States, 397 F.2d 156 (9th Cir. 1968); see also United States v. St.	
8	Onge, 676 F. Supp. 1041, 1043 (D. Mont. 1987). The United States, therefore, requests that this Cour	
9	order that Defendant make himself available for fingerprinting by the United States.	
10	$\mathbf{IV}$	
11	CONCLUSION	
12	For the foregoing reasons, the United States requests that the Court grant its motions for	
13	reciprocal discovery and fingerprint exemplars.	
14	DATED: April 7, 2008	Respectfully submitted,
15		KAREN P. HEWITT
16		United States Attorney
17		s/Christopher M. Alexander
18		CHRISTOPHER M. ALEXANADER Assistant United States Attorney
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America
20		Email: Christopher.M.Alexander@usdoj.gov
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

3

08CR1003-WQH

4

08CR1003-WOH

Document 10

Filed 04/07/2008

Page 4 of 4

Case 3:08-cr-01003-WQH